

Clinical Confidence Level of Pandemic Affected Graduates of Private Dental Colleges in Malaysia

Vinay Marla¹, Nurnayly Putri Lyana Bt Yusree Putra², Nur Zakiah Ezanie Bt Mohamad Hairudin², Anubhava Vardhan Sharma³, Fazle Khuda³ and Anand Krishnan³

¹ Faculty of Dentistry, Qaiwan International University, Sulaymaniyah, Kurdistan Region, Iraq

² Kementerian Kesihatan Malaysia (Ministry of Health), Malaysia

³ Faculty of Dentistry, Lincoln University College, Selangor, Malaysia

Corresponding Author: Vinay Marla (e-mail: vinay.marla@uniq.edu.iq).

ABSTRACT The Covid-19 pandemic has brought many challenges towards education especially in dentistry. Dental education is fundamentally a skills-based health science that requires technical and clinical skills to treat patients effectively. This study assessed level of clinical confidence of ‘pandemic affected dental graduates’ of private dental colleges in Malaysia, as a result of disruption in face-to-face learning by the COVID- 19 pandemic lockdown. This cross-sectional questionnaire survey was done among final year dental graduates from private colleges in Malaysia who were affected during the COVID-19 pandemic. The questionnaire addressed clinical skills required prior to graduation. A total of 79 students responded from private dental colleges in Malaysia with a response rate 39.5%. with greater number in female respondents. The majority reported confident for fissure sealant restorations and topical fluoride application (82.3%), and very few perceived confidences in carrying out soft tissue biopsy (26.6%) and restoring dental implants (27.9%). The general finding was that most graduates perceived themselves to be confident in practicing the most fundamental aspects of general clinical practice. It is inevitable that these new graduates do not have all the skills required for independent practice as it is consistent with international reports that limited clinical exposure in advanced areas (surgical extraction, molar RCT, biopsy and implant) was considered the main contributing factor to student inexperience and lack of confidence.

KEY WORDS: Clinical confidence, covid-19, dental students, dentistry, pandemic.

I. INTRODUCTION

Covid-19 is a viral disease that originated from China and is caused by Sars CoV-2 virus. The spread of COVID-19 throughout the world led the World Health Organization (WHO) to declare the outbreak of a public health emergency of international concern [1]. This pandemic had significant effects on public health, human mobility, and the environment; while disrupting social relations and economic well-being of the people across the globe. During the course of the pandemic, various measures such as lockdown and confinement, and restriction on gathering and movement, had been implemented in different countries to ease the human toll significantly by reducing people’s mobility and by encouraging social distancing practices [2]. The restriction of movements was observed in different sectors worldwide including the educational sector [3].

The impact of covid-19 in south east Asian countries was seen both in terms of health risk as well as secondary

consequences of lockdown measures including in Malaysia [4]. The implementation of movement control order (MCO) throughout Malaysia resulted in schools and universities to be shutdown, forcing the students to engage in online studies [5]. The online education protocol resulted in a number of effects on the students with one study stating that the online education affected eye health of the students [6]. In addition to the physical health, the movement restriction also affected the mental health of students due to prolonged periods of isolation and lack of social contacts with peers [5]. Browning et.al. stated that factors such as vast e-learning adoption, declining academic perspectives and social restrictions acted as stressors for the students during the covid-19 pandemic which affected their academic performances [7].

The impact of covid-19 on the field of dentistry is significant because of the potential of increased risk of infection during dental treatments due to the production of aerosols. This effect has impacted the dental education to a huge extent [8].

The bachelor's program in dentistry is generally divided into pre-clinical and clinical years. Switching to online education did not disturb the students of the pre-clinical years but affected the clinical students to a great extent. The most significant impact was the loss of clinical training hours which could not be accomplished online [9]. Resumption of dental schools during the covid-19 pandemic was achieved, however, with adjustments in the routine practices and clinical requirement quota of the curriculum [10]. Due to the pandemic, final year students were struggling to achieve the minimum number of clinical requirements set by the MQA to graduate. In Malaysia, there was a reduction in the clinical quota required by the dental students during their undergraduate program [11]. This might have had some effect on the competency attained by these pandemic-affected students. This study was therefore undertaken to evaluate the clinical confidence among the pandemic affected graduates of private dental schools in Malaysia.

II. METHODS

A questionnaire-based cross-sectional descriptive study was conducted that involved dental graduates from different private universities of Malaysia who completed their bachelors' program in dentistry during the COVID 19 pandemic. A snowball sampling method was considered to include as many dental graduates from the different private dental colleges in Malaysia. Inclusion criteria included graduated dental students who spent at least one full semester during the covid-19 pandemic (April 2020 – October 2021; movement control order (MCO) was strictly followed during this time period in Malaysia), graduates from private dental schools only and participants who agreed to participate in this study. Graduates from public universities were excluded from this study. Additionally, graduates who had already started their compulsory government postings at the time of filling out the questionnaire were excluded from this study. The data collection tool included a pre-validated questionnaire adopted from a study conducted by Murray & Chandler [12]. Basic demographic details including age, sex, ethnicity and university of study were collected. The questionnaire was closed-ended and used a 4-point Likert scale to examine the participants' self-reported confidence levels in dental procedures or skills. The data were coded and entered in Microsoft excel sheet following which statistical analysis was performed using SPSS software. Descriptive analysis was performed to analyze the data of this study.

III. RESULTS

A total number of 79 graduates from private dental colleges in Malaysia participated in the study. There were more female (64.6%) participants than male (35.4%) participants. The mean age of the participants was 25.6 years with a minimum age of 22 and a maximum of 32. Graduates from 5 different private universities participated in this study. The self-reported confidence levels of the graduates from different universities in terms of diagnosis and treatment

planning are summarized in table 1. The graduates reported varied confidence levels with highest confidence levels in history and examination. In terms of radiography, maximum participants were confident in performing the procedure. Identification & management of oral pathology was found to be the majority group where the participants reported less confidence. Nearly 7.6% of the participants reported no confidence in terms of orthodontic assessment. The self-reported confidence levels of the graduates from different universities in terms of diagnosis and treatment are summarized in table 1. The self-reported confidence levels of the graduates from different universities in terms of preventive treatment are summarized in table 2. Overall high confidence was observed in all the three preventive procedures. The self-reported confidence levels of the graduates from different universities in terms of routine dental procedures are summarized in table 3. Varied level of confidence was seen in a variety of routine dental procedures. The self-reported confidence levels of the graduates from different universities in terms of endodontic treatment are summarized in table 4. The self-reported confidence levels of the graduates from different universities in terms of removable prosthodontic treatment are summarized in table 5. The self-reported confidence levels of the graduates from different universities in terms of paediatric dentistry are summarized in table 6. The self-reported confidence levels of the graduates from different universities in terms of medical emergencies are summarized in table 7. The self-reported confidence levels of the graduates from different universities in terms of special needs treatment are summarized in table 8. The self-reported confidence levels of the graduates from different universities in terms of other procedures are summarized in table 9.

TABLE 1: Self-reported confidence levels of the graduates from different universities in terms of diagnosis and treatment

S.N.	PROCEDURE	High Confidence	Confident	Less Confidence	No Confidence
1	History & Examination	29 (36.7%)	35 (44.3%)	12 (15.2%)	3 (3.8%)
2	Radiography	9 (11.4%)	45 (57%)	21 (26.6%)	4 (5.1%)
3	Diagnosis of Caries	26 (32.9%)	38 (48.1%)	11(13.9%)	4 (5.1%)
4	Treatment Planning	17 (21.5%)	40 (50.6%)	18 (22.8%)	4 (5.1%)
5	Diagnosis of Periodontal diseases	11(13.9%)	40 (50.6%)	25 (31.6%)	3 (3.8%)
6	Diagnosis of tooth wear	21(26.6%)	37 (46.8%)	16 (20.3%)	4 (5.1%)
7	Orthodontic assessment	7 (8.9%)	33 (41.8%)	32 (40.5%)	6 (7.6%)
8	Identification & Management of oral pathology	5 (6.3%)	35 (44.3%)	34 (43.0%)	5 (6.3%)

TABLE 2: Self-reported confidence levels of the graduates from different universities in terms preventive treatment.

S.N.	PROCEDURE	High Confidence	Confident	Less Confidence	No Confidence
1	Oral hygiene instruction & Dietary analysis	43 (54.4%)	21(26.6%)	12 (15.2%)	3 (3.8%)
2	Fissure sealants & Fluoride application	45 (57.0%)	20 (25.3%)	11(13.9%)	3 (3.8%)
3	Smoking cessation intervention counselling	30 (38.0%)	24 (30.4%)	17 (21.5%)	8 (10.1%)

TABLE 3: Self-reported confidence levels of the graduates from different universities in terms of routine dental procedures.

S.N.	PROCEDURE	High Confidence	Confident	Less Confidence	No Confidence
1	Administering local anesthetic	22(27.8%)	34(43.0%)	16(20.3%)	7(8.9%)
2	Dental dam placement	23(29.1%)	34(43.0%)	14(17.7%)	8(10.1%)
3	Sealant restoration (preventive resin restoration)	42(53.2%)	22(27.8%)	10(12.7%)	5(6.3%)
4	Anterior composite restoration	23(29.1%)	35(44.3%)	17(21.5%)	4(5.1%)
5	Posterior composite restoration	24(30.4%)	32(40.5%)	17(21.5%)	4(5.1%)
6	Complex amalgam restoration	10(12.7%)	25(31.6%)	35(44.3%)	9(11.4%)
7	Full mouth scaling	42(53.2%)	18(22.8%)	11(13.9%)	8(10.1%)
8	Root planing	18(22.8%)	29(36.7%)	23(29.1%)	9(11.4%)
9	Simple extraction	30(38.0%)	28(35.4%)	13(16.5%)	8(10.1%)
10	Surgical extraction	2(2.5%)	25(31.6%)	36(45.6%)	16(20.3%)
11	Veneer preparation	4(5.1%)	29(36.7%)	32(40.5%)	14(17.7%)
12	Crown preparation	8(10.1%)	38(48.1%)	29(36.7%)	4(5.1%)
13	Post & core retained crowns	7(8.9%)	27(34.2%)	36(45.6%)	9(11.4%)
14	Conventional bridge preparation	9(11.4%)	28(35.4%)	33(41.8%)	9(11.4%)
15	Resin bonded bridge preparation	5(6.3%)	29(36.7%)	32(40.5%)	13(16.5%)
16	Restoration of implants	4(5.1%)	18(22.8%)	27(34.2%)	28(35.4%)

S.N.	PROCEDURE	High Confidence	Confident	Less Confidence	No Confidence
1	Administering local anesthetic	22(27.8%)	34(43.0%)	16(20.3%)	7(8.9%)
2	Dental dam placement	23(29.1%)	34(43.0%)	14(17.7%)	8(10.1%)
3	Sealant restoration (preventive resin restoration)	42(53.2%)	22(27.8%)	10(12.7%)	5(6.3%)
4	Anterior composite restoration	23(29.1%)	35(44.3%)	17(21.5%)	4(5.1%)
5	Posterior composite restoration	24(30.4%)	32(40.5%)	17(21.5%)	4(5.1%)
6	Complex amalgam restoration	10(12.7%)	25(31.6%)	35(44.3%)	9(11.4%)
7	Full mouth scaling	42(53.2%)	18(22.8%)	11(13.9%)	8(10.1%)
8	Root planing	18(22.8%)	29(36.7%)	23(29.1%)	9(11.4%)
9	Simple extraction	30(38.0%)	28(35.4%)	13(16.5%)	8(10.1%)
10	Surgical extraction	2(2.5%)	25(31.6%)	36(45.6%)	16(20.3%)
11	Veneer preparation	4(5.1%)	29(36.7%)	32(40.5%)	14(17.7%)
12	Crown preparation	8(10.1%)	38(48.1%)	29(36.7%)	4(5.1%)
13	Post & core retained crowns	7(8.9%)	27(34.2%)	36(45.6%)	9(11.4%)
14	Conventional bridge preparation	9(11.4%)	28(35.4%)	33(41.8%)	9(11.4%)
15	Resin bonded bridge preparation	5(6.3%)	29(36.7%)	32(40.5%)	13(16.5%)
16	Restoration of implants	4(5.1%)	18(22.8%)	27(34.2%)	28(35.4%)

TABLE 4: Self-reported confidence levels of the graduates from different universities in terms of endodontic treatment.

S.N	PROCEDURE	High Confidence	Confident	Less Confidence	No Confidence
1	Anterior RCT	26(32.9%)	27(34.2%)	22(27.8%)	4(5.1%)
2	Premolar RCT	21(26.6%)	32(40.5%)	21(26.6%)	5(6.3%)
3	Molar RCT	7(8.9%)	22(27.8%)	37(46.8%)	13(16.5%)
4	Vital Tooth Bleaching	3(3.8%)	17(21.5%)	35(44.3%)	24(30.4%)
5	Non- Vital Tooth Bleaching	4(5.1%)	19(24.1%)	33(41.8%)	22(27.8%)

TABLE 5: Self-reported confidence levels of the graduates from different

universities in terms of removable prosthodontic treatment.

S.N	PROCEDURE	High Confidence	Confident	Less Confidence	No Confidence
1	Designing metal partial dentures	10(12.7%)	35(44.3%)	30(38.0%)	4(5.1%)
2	Acrylic partial denture construction	20(25.3%)	38(48.1%)	11(22.8%)	2(2.5%)
3	Full denture construction	23(29.1%)	33(41.8%)	22(27.8%)	1(1.3%)

TABLE 6: Self-reported confidence levels of the graduates from different universities in terms of pediatric dentistry

S.N	PROCEDURE	High Confidence	Confident	Less Confidence	No Confidence
1	Stainless steel crown preparation and placement	10(12.7%)	30(38.0%)	33(41.8%)	6(7.6%)
2	Restoration of primary teeth	21(26.6%)	33(41.8%)	20(25.3%)	5(6.3%)
3	Paediatric pulpal therapy	7(8.9%)	22(27.8%)	34(43.0%)	15(19.0%)
4	Extraction of primary teeth	17(21.5%)	31(39.2%)	22(27.8%)	9(11.4%)

TABLE 7: Self-reported confidence levels of the graduates from different universities in terms of medical emergencies

S.N	PROCEDURE	High Confidence	Confident	Less Confidence	No Confidence
1	Managing local anaesthetic complications	5(6.3%)	38(48.1%)	31(39.2%)	5(6.3%)
2	Managing haemorrhage	5(6.3%)	46(58.2%)	22(27.8%)	6(7.6%)
3	Treatment of dry socket	13(16.5%)	39(49.4%)	21(26.6%)	5(6.3%)
4	Management of pain	15(19.0%)	45(57.0%)	13(16.5%)	5(6.3%)
5	Management of dental trauma	5(6.3%)	28(35.4%)	37(46.8%)	9(11.4%)
6	Management of medical emergencies	3(3.8%)	29(36.7%)	35(44.3%)	11(13.9%)

TABLE 8: Self-reported confidence levels of the graduates from different universities in terms of special needs treatment

S.N	PROCEDURE	High Confidence	Confident	Less Confidence	No Confidence
1	Treatment of anxious patients	9(11.4%)	34(43.0%)	33(41.8%)	3(3.8%)
2	Treatment of elderly patients	18(22.8%)	37(46.8%)	22(27.8%)	2(2.5%)

3	Treatment of medically compromised patients	10(12.7%)	29(36.7%)	37(46.8%)	3(3.8%)
4	Treatment of disabled patients	9(11.4%)	25(31.6%)	43(54.4%)	2(2.5%)

TABLE 9: Self-reported confidence levels of the graduates from different universities in terms of other procedures.

S.N	PROCEDURE	High Confidence	Confident	Less Confidence	No Confidence
1	Prescribing drugs	13(16.5%)	35(44.3%)	26(32.9%)	4(5.1%)
2	Recognizing & reporting suspected abuse/neglect	10(12.7%)	32(40.5%)	31(39.2%)	6(7.6%)
3	Soft tissue biopsy	5(6.3%)	16(20.3%)	43(54.4%)	15(19.0%)
4	Evaluating dental literature	7(8.9%)	27(34.2%)	37(46.8%)	6(7.6%)

IV. DISCUSSION

Although dental educators and clinical supervisors are the preferred candidates to evaluate clinical dental students for their practice, self-perception serves as a stand-in for evaluating soft skills like confidence [13]. This self-reported study examined the self-confidence levels of final year dental students who were affected by the pandemic COVID-19 over a range of core skills. The students responded to this survey towards the end of their waiting period prior to embarking on their 1-year compulsory service posting with the government. At the time of the survey, these students were affected to a larger extent where almost a year-and-a-half of their study journey was either restricted or reduced in terms of clinical practice. In studies performed prior to the pandemic elsewhere and in Malaysia, evaluation of the self-reported preparedness of final year dental undergraduates showed that the students felt prepared for the majority of the criteria expected from dentists [12,14].

Our study involved assessing the self-perceived confidence among dental graduates in relation to nine broad categories related to various aspects of the dentistry. The first category involved 'Diagnosis & Treatment planning'. It was observed that 36.7% of the graduates had high confidence and 44.3% were confident in 'History & Examination' respectively. The graduates were also confident in a number of diagnostic procedures including radiography (57%), treatment planning (50.6%) and diagnosis of periodontal diseases (50.6%). This is compatible with the results of the previous studies where the authors have demonstrated that students reported highest confidence in simple procedures [15] and in

procedures in which they had the most clinical experience [16] and similar to the New Zealand results reported in their study where most of the students, ranges from 70%-90% perceived high confidence in diagnosis and treatment planning [12]. Similar findings were noted in other previous studies as well [14]. The graduates perceived less confidence in identification & management of oral pathology (43%) and orthodontic assessment (40.5%) with a further 7.6% expressing no confidence in evaluation of orthodontic patients. This aligns favorably with other similar studies as dental students reported in New Zealand were 29.8% for orthodontic assessment and 19.3% in identification and management of oral pathology. These procedures are complex and require comprehensive knowledge. In numerous studies, students feel that oral pathology does not deal directly with the patient and lack of hands-on practice. Similar findings were also supported by Awan et al. and Brzak et al., where nearly a quarter percentage of dental students lack knowledge regarding oral soft tissues [17,18], although most of the dental students admit that knowledge of oral soft tissues is important [19]. Thus, there is need for a change in order to improve their skills and confidence levels in this procedure. Low perceived confidence level in orthodontic assessment can be attributed to most orthodontic treatment procedures in dental schools as a means of enhancing hand-skill work rather than as a prelude to clinical treatment procedures [13].

In the present study, the analysis of students' confidence levels in preventive treatment showed 'high confidence' in a majority of the procedures. The graduates perceived more confidence in 'Oral Hygiene Instruction and Diet Analysis' (81%) as well as Restoration of Fissure Sealant and Topical Fluoride Application (82.3%). Similar results were reported in previous studies, where it was observed that 86.2% and 87.9% final year dental students were confident in providing patients with those two procedures respectively. The current result can further be supported by a study by Walley et al. where a 3-year survey conducted across three dental schools in the UK also reported almost 100% of confidence level in this task with preventive measures having the highest scores for perceived confidence [20]. Meanwhile, similar finding by Honey et al. reported high levels of confidence for fissure sealants as well [16].

Among the routine dental procedures, our study revealed that the graduates perceived 'high confidence' (53.2%) in both preventive resin restoration and full mouth scaling procedures. The graduates also perceived themselves as confident in performing crown preparation (48.1%) and anterior composite restoration (44.3%) respectively. Overall, the graduates were confident in most of the restorative procedures. Similarly, the graduates were confident in the routine prosthetic procedures including

metal partial dentures (44.3%), acrylic partial dentures (48.1%) and complete denture fabrication (41.8%) respectively. This perceived confidence in restorative or prosthetic dentistry could be attributed to the fact that all dental students are exposed to the simulation laboratories from their preclinical years of their undergraduate study. The result is supported by a comparative study done by Elmanaseer, where his students were extremely confident in multiple simple routine conservative procedures for example placing posterior composite (89% and 71%) and placing anterior composite (89% and 74% respectively) [21]. Moreover, it was observed in another study that 87.6% perceived highest proportion in restoring teeth with tooth-colored fillings and it also observed that recent graduates are distinctly more comfortable with administering inferior dental nerve blocks [13]. Procedures involving restorative dentistry reported highest values for self-confidence among COVID-19 pandemic affected final year dental students [22]. This could be explained by the fact that restorative and prosthetic dentistry forms the core component in an undergraduate dental program with early exposure from the pre-clinical years and the graduates in our study were not affected as their foundational skill learning in restorative dentistry occurred before the pandemic.

The procedures where the graduates showed no confidence included surgical extraction (20.3%) and restoration of implants (35.4%). This could be explained by the fact that the changes made in Malaysia during the covid-19 pandemic included only observation of surgical extraction cases which could explain the lack of confidence. Similarly, restoration of implants is an elective procedure which was mostly not undertaken during the pandemic-affected study period. Based on these findings, clinical workshops are recommended for such procedures for improving the overall confidence levels of these pandemics affected graduates.

Dental students and new graduates often find endodontics challenging and they have low confidence in managing complex cases. Understandably, the students were less confident (46.8%) in undertaking endodontics treatments on multi-rooted teeth compared to single root teeth (27.8%). The graduates in this study showed 67.1% overall confidence in relation to anterior root canal treatment, 67% for premolar root canal treatment and 36.7% overall confidence for root canal treatment in molar teeth. This corroborates with other studies that cited low perceived competency in independently performing endodontic treatments on multirrooted teeth [13,14]. The authors stated that newly qualified dentists are often underprepared in these competencies and continuing professional development and transitional support in this area is necessary following graduation. The competences in clinical practice are dependent on a habit of lifelong learning [23]. Furthermore, in our study it was found that with

regards to vital and non-vital tooth bleaching, the graduates have lower confidence levels with 30.4% and 27.8% graduates perceiving no confidence in these techniques respectively. The potential reasons include inadequate clinical exposure in the curriculum studies and the teaching of bleaching techniques were more highlighted in theory compared to clinical practice. This can lead to consequences in general dental practice as dental students are likely to continue the approach towards treatment that they learn and practice the most at dental school. A study that was carried out among clinical dental students across different universities in Saudi Arabia may partially explain the finding of low confidence level in tooth bleaching. The majority of the participants in the study did not perform any bleaching procedure neither for vital nor non-vital teeth [24]. In addition, an earlier study of New Zealand final year students found that 21.9% of them felt confident carrying out non-vital bleaching [12].

Regarding clinical skills in pediatric dentistry, the students responded more than 50% confident in three out of four procedures which were Stainless Steel Crown (50.7%), Restoration of primary teeth (68.4%), and extraction of primary teeth (60.7%). Meanwhile over 36.7% reported confident in pulpal therapy of primary teeth. This contradicts the finding by Murray and Chandler who reported placement of pediatric stainless-steel crowns (18.9%) and pediatric pulpal therapy (13.8%) [12]. The potential reasons could be shortage of suitable patients or lack of clinical exposure during undergraduate studies. Moreover, low perceived confidence was also reported in managing behavior of children [13].

Several UK and NZ studies have described self-reported confidence levels of either final year students or recent graduates on management of medical emergencies. The NZ results presented managing local anesthetic complications (31.6%), managing hemorrhage (50%), treatment of dry socket (88%), pain management (59.6%), dental trauma management (22.8%) and medical emergencies management (10.5%) [12]. This report is in contrast to the self confidence levels of the respondents in our study which it reported higher percentage of confidence level in managing local anesthetic complications (54.4%), managing hemorrhage (64.5%), pain management (76.7%). However, with regards to dental trauma management and medical emergencies management, the graduated expressed less confidence, 46.8% and 44.3% respectively. Similar low confidence levels were also seen in treatment of anxious (41.8%), medically compromised (46.8%) and disabled patients (54.4%). The only area where they showed confidence was treating elderly patients (46.8%). This could be related to their confidence in fabrication of different types of dentures as most of these cases involves elderly patients.

Finally, regarding other procedures, over 40.5%

respondents reported confidence in prescribing drugs. Similar findings are reported in previous studies [25]. However, it is in contrast to a cross-sectional study where 27% of dental students did not feel confident prescribing safe and effective medications. This was because 74% of them reported having little or no knowledge of complementary therapies due to the breadth of topics taught in pre-clinical phase and they found it difficult to understand which aspects were relevant in dentistry. Besides that, those who found pharmacology interesting showed extra initiative to further their knowledge [26]. However according to Yudin et al., prescribing medication to patient is an important skill to develop in clinical dental practice and dental educators in Malaysia need to revisit the teaching of undergraduate students in this area to ensure that the students get regular opportunities to write drug prescriptions for their patients under the supervision of their faculty.

IV. CONCLUSION

This study highlights the effects of the covid-19 pandemic on the clinical confidence levels of the graduates of different private dental universities of Malaysia. Majority of the students were confident in diagnosis & treatment planning. In terms of preventive measures, the students showed high confidence levels. Mixed levels of confidence were perceived in terms of routine dental procedures, medical emergencies and special needs treatment. In terms of endodontic procedures and paediatric dentistry, overall low confidence was observed among the students. Finally in terms of other procedures such as prescribing drugs, soft tissue biopsies etc, mixed levels of confidence were perceived among the students. Even though the confidence levels of most of the pandemic-affected graduates is above average, efforts should be taken to reinforce critical clinical skills through workshops for enhancing the overall quality of care to the patients.

V. REFERENCES

- [1] Onyeaka H, Anumudu CK, Al-Sharify ZT, Egele-Godswill E, Mbaegbu P. COVID-19 pandemic: A review of the global lockdown and its far-reaching effects. *Sci Prog.* 2021 Apr-Jun;104(2): 368504211019854.doi: 10.1177/00368504211019854.
- [2] Rahman MM, Thill JC. Associations between COVID-19 Pandemic, Lockdown Measures and Human Mobility: Longitudinal Evidence from 86 Countries. *Int J Environ Res Public Health.* 2022 Jun 14;19(12):7317. doi: 10.3390/ijerph19127317.
- [3] Farsalinos, K.; Poulas, K.; Kouretas, D.; Vantarakis, A.; Leotsinidis, M.; Kouvelas, D.; Docea, A.O.; Kostoff, R.; Gerotziakas, G.T.; Antoniou, M.N.; et al. Improved strategies to counter the COVID-19 pandemic:

Lockdowns vs. primary and community healthcare. *Toxicol. Rep* 2021, 8, 1–9.

- [4] Geurts J, Singh-Povel C, Lee ST, Sekartini R, Poh BK, Rojroongwasinkul N, Tran NT, Kekalih A, Wong JE, Vongvimetee N, Tran VK, Khouw I; SEANUTS II Study Group. The impact of the COVID-19 pandemic in Malaysia, Indonesia, Thailand and Vietnam: insights from the SEANUTS II study. *Public Health Nutr.* 2024 Dec 20;27(1): e261. doi: 10.1017/S1368980024001332.
- [5] Abdullah N, Hashim NZI, Abidin ISZ, Shazali SMM. Impact of COVID-19 Pandemic in Malaysia: A Critical Survey. *Pertanika J. Soc. Sci. & Hum.* 30 (4): 1857 - 1879 (2022).
- [6] Kaya, H. (2020). Investigation of the effect of online education on eye health in Covid-19 pandemic. *International Journal of Assessment Tools in Education*, 7(3), 488-496. <https://doi.org/10.21449/ijate.788078>
- [7] Browning, M. H., Larson, L. R., Sharaievskaya, I., Rigolon, A., McAnirlin, O., Mullenbach, L., Cloutier, S., Vu, T. M., Thomsen, J., Reigner, N., Metcalf, E. C., D'Antonio, A., Helbich, M., Bratman, G. N., & Alvarez, H. O. (2021). Psychological impacts from Covid-19 among university students: Risk factors across seven states in the United States. *PLOS ONE*, 16(1), Article e0245327. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0245327>
- [8] Goriuc A, Sandu D, Tatarciuc M, Luchian I. The Impact of the COVID-19 Pandemic on Dentistry and Dental Education: A Narrative Review. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*. 2022; 19(5):2537.
- [9] Halim, M. S., Noorani, T. Y., Karobari, M. I., & Kamaruddin, N. (2021). COVID-19 and dental education: A Malaysian perspective. *Journal of International Oral Health*, 13(3), 201–206.
- [10] Hassan MG, Amer H. Dental Education in the Time of COVID-19 Pandemic: Challenges and Recommendations. *Front Med (Lausanne)*. 2021 May 31; 8:648899. doi: 10.3389/fmed.2021.648899.
- [11] Mohd-Dom TN, Lim KX, Rani H and Yew HZ (2022) Malaysian dental deans' consensus on impact of COVID-19 and recommendations for sustaining quality dental education. *Front. Educ.* 7:926376. doi: 10.3389/feduc.2022.926376
- [12] Murray, C., & Chandler, N. (2016). Final year dental students in New Zealand: Self-reported confidence levels prior to BDS graduation. *New Zealand Dental Journal*, 112(4), 116–121.
- [13] Pandarathodiyil, A. K., Mani, S. A., Ghani, W. M. N., Ramanathan, A., Talib, R., & Zamzuri, A. T. (2023). Preparedness of recent dental graduates and final-year undergraduate dental students for practice amidst the COVID-19 pandemic. *European Journal of Dental Education*, 27(1), 78–86. <https://doi.org/10.1111/eje.12779>
- [14] Ali, K., Cockerill, J., Zahra, D., Qazi, H. S., Raja, U., & Ataullah, K. (2018). Self-perceived preparedness of final year dental students in a developing country—A multi-institution study. *European Journal of Dental Education*, 22(4), e745–e750.
- [15] Thilakumara, I. P., Prathibhani, K. U., Rasnayaka, S. G. K., Abeysundara, S. P., & Jayasinghe, R. M. (2020). Does the Level of Confidence Exhibited by Dental Students Predict the Outcome of Complete Denture Therapy? *International Journal of Dentistry*, 2020. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2020/9752925>
- [16] Honey, J., Lynch, C. D., Burke, F. M., & Gilmour, A. S. M. (2011). Ready for practice? A study of confidence levels of final year dental students at Cardiff University and University College Cork. *European Journal of Dental Education*, 15(2), 98–103.
- [17] Awan, K. H., Khang, T. W., Yee, T. K., & Zain, R. B. (2014). Assessing oral cancer knowledge and awareness among Malaysian dental and medical students. *Journal of Cancer Research and Therapeutics*, 10(4), 903–907.
- [18] Brzak, B. L., Canjuga, I., Baričević, M., & Mravak-Stipetić, M. (2012). Dental Students' Awareness of Oral Cancer. *Acta Stomatologica Croatica*, 46(1).
- [19] Farag, A. M., Alharbi, M., Alamoudi, M., & Alturki, G. (2021). Perception and Attitude of Dental Students and Interns Regarding the Diagnosis and Management of Oral Mucosal Lesions and Salivary Glands Hypofunction. *The Open Dentistry Journal*, 15(1).
- [20] Walley, S., Bailey, J. R., Albadri, S., Mackie, I. C., Gilchrist, F., & Rodd, H. D. (2014). Undergraduates' self-reported clinical experience, confidence and perspectives of hospital and outreach paediatric dentistry: a three-year multi-centre evaluation. *British Dental Journal*, 216(5), 251–256.
- [21] Elmanaseer, W. R. (2021). Dental Students' Perception and Confidence Level in Key Dental Procedures for General Practice and the Impact of Competency Implementation on Their Confidence Level, Part I (Prosthodontics and Conservative Dentistry).
- [22] Ilić, J., Radović, K., Savić-Stanković, T., Popovac, A., Miletić, V., & Lemić, A. M. (2021). The effect of COVID-19 pandemic on final year dental students' self-confidence level in performing clinical procedures. *PLoS ONE*, 16(10 October), 1–15. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0257359>

- [23] Friedlander, L. T., Wallace, W. D. A., Broadbent, J. M., Cannon, R. D., Cooper, P. R., Hanlin, S. M., & Lyons, K. M. (2023). Preparedness and competency of New Zealand graduates for general dental practice – perceptions from the workforce. 1–11. <https://doi.org/10.1111/adj.12981>
- [24] Aldegheishem A, Azam A, Alfahed B, Aldegheishem G, Aldryhim H, Alshami A, Anwaigi L. Practice with confidence: Analyzing confidence level of final year dental students from four Saudi dental colleges in Riyadh. *Saudi J Biol Sci.* 2021 Apr;28(4):2175-2179. doi: 10.1016/j.sjbs.2021.01.044.
- [25] Yudin, M., Ali, K., & Ahmad, A. (2020). Selfperceived preparedness of undergraduate dental students in dental public universities in Malaysia: A national study.
- [26] Park, J. S., Daniel, B. K., Wong, P. Y., Abbott, P. V., & Ngo, H. C. (2023). Dental students' knowledge of and attitudes towards prescribing medication in Western Australia. *European Journal of Dental Education*, 27(2), 287–295. <https://doi.org/10.1111/eje.12802>